Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,429	HSIAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) <u>A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner</u> .	(3)	
(2) Dominic M. Kotab, for Applicant(s).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 May 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 18		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
A. DEXTER TUGBANG		
PRIMARY EXAMINER	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature — if appropriato\
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	TVApplicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that some of the language in each of Claims 1, 3 and 18 was awkwardly worded. The examiner suggested changes to each of these claims to correct the grammar. These changes do not affect the scope of the claimed invention and the applicant(s) have agreed to the changes in the attached Examiner's Amendment, which places the application in condition for allowance.